

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/276,014	03/25/99	SI LE		А	SPI011(42891
	IM62/0313	コ	EXAMINER		
WILLIAM E. MCSHANE				NESSLER, C	
CONNOLLY AND HUTZ				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1220 MARKET P O BOX 220 WILMINGTON	7	• •		1761 DATE MAILED:	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit			
	Wersler 176/			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—			
Period for Reply	~ /			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE S /_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	99			
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 				
Disposition of Claims				
\Box Claim(s) $1-23$	is/are pending in the application.			
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)	*			
	are subject to restriction or election			
	requirement.			
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objecte				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	d to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the Interr 	e priority documents have been			
*Certified copies not received:	•			
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(c) Dintonious Summary PTO 442			
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-1449, Paper Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15.			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other			
or oranoperson a rate in Diawing Haview, F 10-340				

Application/Control Number: 09/276014 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-13, drawn to hydrogenated starch hydrolysate and products, classified in class 127, subclass 29.

- II. Claims 14-18, drawn to encapsulated particles, classified in class 264, subclass 4.
- III. Claims 19-23, drawn to a flavorant composition, classified in class 426, subclass650.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as in pharmaceuticals. Invention II has a separate utility such as in cosmetics. Invention III has a separate utility such as in meat products. Also, Inventions II and III do not require the specific hydrogenated starch hydrolysate of Invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

NOTE that Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a) claims 9-11, the starch hydrolysate in sugarless hard boiled candy, b) claim 12, the starch hydrolysate mixed with additional additives listed in paragraph B, and c) claim 13, the starch hydrolysate as a coating on malic acid or a mixture of acidulents.

Application/Control Number: 09/276014 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

If Group I is elected, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-8 are generic to Group I.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia L. Nessler whose telephone number is (703) 308-3843.

CYNTHIA L. NESSLER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
CROUP 1300

cn

March 13, 2000